DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 391 266 EC 304 515

AUTHOR Kelly, Dotty; Guerrero, Vincent Leon

TITLE Pacific Basin Deaf-Blind Project. State & Multi State

Projects for Children with Deaf-Blindness. Final

Report, 1992-1995.

INSTITUTION Guam Dept. of Education, Agana.

SPONS AGENCY Special Education Programs (ED/OSERS), Washington.

DC.

PUB DATE 95

NOTE 51p.; A product of the Hawaii University Affiliated

Program Pacific Outreach Institute.

PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC03 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Agency Cooperation; *Deaf Blind; Disability

Identification; Early Intervention; Education Work Relationship; Elementary Secondary Education; *Inclusive Schools; Preschool Education; *Social Integration; *Technical Assistance; Transitional

Programs; Vocational Education

IDENTIFIERS American Samoa; Federated States of Micronesia; Guam;

Marshall Islands; Northern Mariana Islands; *Pacific

Region; Palau

ABSTRACT

This final report describes activities and accomplishments of the Pacific Basin Deaf-Blind Project, a 3-year federally funded project to provide technical assistance to public and private agencies, institutions, and organizations providing early intervention, educational, transitional, vocational, early identification, and related services to children and youth with deaf-blindness in American Samoa, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, the Federated States of Micronesia, Guam, the Republic of Palau, and the Republic of the Marshall Islands. The report is organized around the project's six technical assistance objectives which stressed: provision of technical services to Departments of Education/Special Education services; the University of Guam and community colleges; service providers; specific assistance to promote the integration of children with deaf-blindness; specific assistance to refine and expand the annual census of students with deaf-blindness; and ongoing collaboration with other related technical assistance projects. Accomplishments for years 1992-93, 1993-94, 1994-95 are listed with project objectives and activities. (DB)



Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

Points of view or opinions stated in this docu-ment do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

Final Report Pacific Basin Deaf-Blind Project State & Multi State Projects for Children with Deaf-Blindness 84.025A

1992 - 1995

Submitted by: Dotty Kelly, M.A. Hawaii University Affiliated Program Pacific Outreach Initiative

&

Vincent Leon Guerrero Associate Superintendent Guam Department of Education

Abstract

The Pacific Basin Deaf-Blind Project is a three year project proposed by the American territory of Guam, Department of Education to serve the following jurisdictions: American Samoa, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, the Federated Stated of Micronesia (Chuuk, Yap, Pohnpei and Kosrae), Guam, the Republic of Palau and the Republic of the Marshall Islands. The overall goal of the project is to provide technical assistance to public and private agencies, institutions, and organizations providing early intervention, educational, transitional, vocational, early identification, and related services to children and youth with deaf-blindness to ensure that quality programs/services are provided that enable individuals with deaf-blindness to live, work and recreate with friends in their home communities. The project has six technical assistance objectives:

- 1. To provide technical assistance to Departments of Education/Special Education Services and other service providers, to ensure the use of successful, innovative, and culturally sensitive approaches in providing early identification and intervention, educational, transitional, vocational and related services to children and youth with deaf-blindness.
- 2. To provide technical assistance to University of Guam and community colleges in conjunction with Part B and Part H CSPD plans in the jurisdictions to provide preserviceand inservice training to paraprofessionals, teachers, administrators and related services personnel preparing to serve or serving children and youth with deaf-blindness.
- 3. To provide technical assistance to service providers to facilitate parental and family involvement in the education of their children with deafblindness and to assist families in understanding the special problems and needs of their children.
- 4. To provide technical assistance to promote the integration of children with deaf-blindness with children with other disabilities and without disabilities in regular, age-appropriate neighborhood schools, classrooms, and in the community.
- 5. To provide technical assistance to refine, maintain and expand (to outer islands) a system in each of the jurisdictions for ongoing screening, assessment, identification and reporting (annual census of students with Deaf-Blindness) of children and youth who are, or are at risk of being, deaf-blind.
- 6. To continue ongoing collaboration and cooperation with other Technical Assistance projects and organizations including Part B and Part H CSPD coordinators, University of Community Colleges to ensure the cost effectiveness and programatic effectiveness of technical assistance to Pacific jurisdictions.



The project will utilize a cadre of consultants who have expertise and experience in serving children and youth with deaf-blindness. Whenever possible, local consultants from the region and individuals from local Community College and University programs will be involved in the provision of technical assistance. The Deaf-Blind Project will engage in ongoing cooperation and collaboration with the State Educational agencies, the lead agencies under Part B and Part H of IDEA and other grants and cooperative agreements providing technical assistance such as the Western Regional Resource Center, (WRRC), the National Early Childhood Technical Assistance Systems (NECTAS), Teaching Research Assistance to Children and Youth Experiencing Sensory Impairments (TRACES), Helen Keller Technical Assistance Center, Hilton-Perkins Project-International, Technical Assistance for Parent Programs (TAPP) and the Pacific Region Educational Laboratory (PREL), etc. Parents will be involved in all aspects of the project including needs assessments, planning and implementation of technical assistance and evaluation. Project evaluation will include satisfaction data, mastery of knowledge data, skill implementation data, systems change/program impact data (i.e., numbers of students included in regular schools and regular classrooms, supported employment, etc.) and child change/outcome data.

Our goal is to impact every child who is deaf-blind in every classroom, school, community and jurisdiction in the Pacific Basin Project. We anticipate that 60-70 students with deaf-blindness will benefit, additionally, at least 150 family members and 75-100 educational service providers will receive support and training in best practices for students with deaf-blindness.



Table of Contents

Abstract	Page
Table of Contents:	i
Part I: Purpose, Goals & Objectives of the Project:	1
Part II: Description of Project Accomplishments:	10
Part III: Project Changes	27
Part IV: Project Impacts	29
Table: 1992-1995 Accomplishments	31
Port V: ERIC Assurance Statement	38



PART I

PURPOSE, GOALS & OBJECTIVES

Project Objectives and Activities

OBJECTIVE 1.

1. To provide technical assistance to departments of education/Special Education Services and other service providers, to ensure the use of successful, innovative, and culturally sensitive approaches in providing early identification and intervention, educational, transitional, vocational and related services to children and youth with deaf-blindness.

Activity 1.1 Provide an intensive educational opportunity to selected trainees from each jurisdiction via an annual, three week Deaf-Blind Summer Institute located at the University of Guam. The Summer Institute will provide preparation across the entire range of disorders that affect students with deaf-blindness: visual impairments, hearing impairments, moderate to severe cognitive disabilities, severe physical disabilities, communication disorders, severe behavior disorders, and the combinations of these disorders. Within the Summer Institute, trainees will participate in practicum with students with dual sensory impairments of all ages in integrated school and community settings. The goal of this Summer Institute program is to build capacity in each jurisdiction by developing the knowledge and skills of two to three selected staff members who can act as on-island "specialists" to assist teachers serving students with deaf-blindness. By providing this series of three Summer Institutes and follow-up consultation on-island, we can provide "specialists" with a strong foundation of knowledge and practical expertise to address educational issues and family needs in their own communities for students with dual sensory impairments. This Project has adopted the philosophical and program competencies from the University of Arizona (Tuscon, AZ.) personnel preparation program for teachers of students with deaf-blindness. See Appendix J for this specific information.

Activity 1.2 Assist each jurisdiction with planning and implementing the replication of successful innovative "best practices" and approaches within their own jurisdiction. Targeting identified students with dual sensory impairments, consultants will assist staff on site in replicating best practice strategies in classrooms and/or village settings, as appropriate to, meet students needs. This on-site follow-up will be designed to:

(1) reinforce the knowledge and skills taught via the Deaf-Blind Summer Institute; (2) ensure that "best practices" strategies for students with dual sensory impairments are being utilized; (3) assist each jurisdiction with planning and implementing the replication of successful innovative practices and approaches within their own jurisdictions.

One such model being considered for replication/adaptation is the Utah Intervenor Service Model. For remote islands, where there is little educational opportunity for some children with dual sensory impairments, this model will be adapted to provide training to persons who are interested and caring...who could (given the Intervenor training) provide appropriate information, education and support to families and children with dual sensory impairments. See Appendix <u>F</u> for a brief description on the Utah Intervenor Service Project. The Utah Project will be providing training materials and assistance as needed.

Activity 1.3 Assist jurisdictions in planning and implementing strategies for sharing and information exchange across jurisdictions that will enhance programs and services for students with dual sensory impairments. For example, many of the practices implemented in the CNMI on placement of students in neighborhood school may be practices that would be of interest for implementation in Chuuk or Kosrae. Jurisdictions can look to each other for sharing information on successful strategies...and rely less on mainland or U.S. models. Meeting times will be scheduled in conjunction with WRRC meetings in the Pacific and on the mainland to



enable Pacific jurisdiction representatives to share successful strategies and practices they are implementing that might generalize to other jurisdictions.

OBJECTIVE 2.

To provide technical assistance to University of Guam and community colleges in conjunction with Part B and Part H CSPD plans in the jurisdictions to provide preservice and inservice training to paraprofessionals, teachers, administrators and related services personnel preparing to serve or serving children and youth with deaf-blindness.

Activity 2.1 Work with educational agencies (Part B and Part H CSPD) and the University of Guam and Community College Teacher Education programs to plan and implement strategies/ways the Project might assist in developing the expertise of Instructors in the area of dual-sensory impairments.

Activity 2.2 In cooperation with universities and colleges, make college/university credit available to trainees participating in the Deaf-Blind Summer Institute and other training programs provided through the Project.

Activity 2.3 Cooperate with the university and college programs in order to utilize the technology available for long-distance training via satellite transmission to meet the needs of agencies, service providers and families of deaf-blind children.

OBJECTIVE 3.

To provide technical assistance to agencies and service providers to facilitate parental and family involvement in the education of their children with deaf-blindness and to assist families in understanding the special problems and needs of their children.

Activity 3.1 Provide agencies and service providers with information and strategies for:



- (1) involving parents in educational program planning and implementation;
- (2) assisting parents in understanding the special need of their children and how they can help support learning at home and in the community; and
- (3) assisting families in planning for the futures of their children and recognizing their capabilities.

Activity 3.2 Assist administrators with planning and implementing strategies to include parents of children with dual sensory impairments in parent groups, workshops, meetings, consultations and counseling.

Activity 3.3 To involve parents in any on-site technical assistance visit...to meet with parent groups, include parents in all workshops or trainings provided by project consultants, and make home visits to meet with parents.



DB Grant '92

OBJECTIVE 4.

To provide technical assistance to promote the integration of children with deaf-blindness with children with other disabilities and without disabilities in regular schools, classroom, and communities.

Activity 4.1 Provide intensive training with on-site follow-up and model site visitations to parents and educational personnel responsible for regrated services for students with dual sensory impairments. This training will include: (1) information on specific needs of dual sensory impaired students within integrated, community-based programs (cf Goetz, Guess, & Stremel-Campbell, 1987); (2) strategies to develop and implement a plan for restructuring to develop an integrated service delivery system appropriate to Pacific Island cultures and locations; (3) strategies for effectively involving and supporting parents and families with information on integration and inclusion of their children with deaf-blindness.

In order to accomplish this, "SCHOOLS ARE FOR ALL KIDS" trainings will be provided on site in selected jurisdictions to ensure participation by all key stakeholders including regular school principals, special education and regular education teachers, related service personnel and parents. Practical strategies will be shared to ensure the successful inclusion of students with deaf-blindness into the least restrictive environment. See Appendix G for a brochure in the "SCHOOLS ARE FOR ALL KIDS" workshop.

Activity 4.2 Assist administrative personnel and stakeholders in jointly developing and implementing an LRE/Integration plan to ensure services for students with dual sensory impairments in age-appropriate regular schools and classrooms. The T.A. Director will assist in this process and in facilitating networking between jurisdictions in regard to successful LRE plans that have been developed and implemented in jurisdictions.



Activity 4.3 To assist school site teams (principals, special education staff, regular education teachers and parents) in developing and implementing integration/inclusion plans and providing training and support to staff to effectively implement strategies to include students with deaf-blindness in age-appropriate, general education classrooms for instruction and interaction opportunities with nondisabled peers. Training will be provided via the "SCHOOLS ARE FOR ALL KIDS" (SAFAK) workshops to develop systematic LRE plans based on research data from the California Research Institute at San Francisco State University. See Appendix \underline{G} for a brief description of the SAFAK workshops. In our previous grant, SAFAK was adapted for the Pacific Basin; a team of trainers, located in Guam, will provide the training on site in the targeted jurisdictions.

OBJECTIVE 5.

To provide technical assistance to refine, maintain and expand to outer islands a system in each of the jurisdictions for the ongoing screening, assessment, identification and reporting (annual census of students with Deaf-Blindness) of children and youth who are, or are risk of being, deaf-blind.

Activity 5.1 Assist in the development of a plan to expand census activities with the special education coordinators of the jurisdictions that have outer islands where Deaf-Blind screening has not been accomplished to date. These jurisdictions are the Marshalls, (FSM, Chuuk and Yap) and Palau. Since most of these jurisdictions fund regular department field trips to these locations, a cost-effective strategy is feasible. This is possible now that a simple, portable screening process, using a Madsen Pocket Auditory Tester and the Efron Visual Acuity Test, is in place (staff are trained and the equipment has been provided).



Activity 5.2 A meeting will be held annually with Special Education coordinators and/or their designated personnel to review and update/revise the procedures as needed. Since all of our census data on students who are deaf-blind is now on a computerized data base, jurisdictions will not have the laborious task of completing the forms "from scratch" each year. They will only need to review the data and update it annually. Specific needs assessment data will continue to be collected annually as part of this process.

OBJECTIVE 6.

To continue ongoing collaboration and cooperation with other
Technical Assistance projects, organizations including Part B and Part H
CSPD coordinators and University and Community Colleges to ensure
the cost effectiveness and programmatic effectiveness of technical
assistance to Pacific jurisdictions.

The major TA projects serving the Pacific include:

- •The Western Regional Resource Center (WRRC)
- •The National Early Childhood Technical Assistance Project (NECTAS)
- •The Pacific Region Educational Laboratory (PREL)
- •Tapp Parent Training Network (TAPP)
- •Teaching Research Assistance to Children and Youth Experiencing Sensory Impairments (TRACES)
- •Pacific Research and Rehabilitation Training Center (R R & T)

 Note: See other collaborating agencies that are in local jurisdictions in Appendix A
 "Descriptions of Pacific Jurisdictions".

Activity 6.1 Participate in T.A. planning meetings with T.A. agencies and projects serving the Pacific Basin in order to share information and collaborate in T.A.



activities. These meetings are generally held 2-3 times a year in conjunction with WRRC meetings in order to enable T.A. coordinators to engage in a comprehensive planning effort to ensure that needs are met effectively and efficiently throughout the Pacific Basin. Many collaborative activities are generated as a result of these meetings.

Activity 6.2 Participate in the development of a quarterly T.A. calendar for the Pacific Basin. A calendar of T.A. activities and timelines is developed at the beginning of the T.A. year by the T.A. agencies (listed above) and updated quarterly. This calendar is disseminated to all Pacific jurisdiction Health and Education agencies and all T.A. agencies that serve the Pacific Basin.

Activity 6.3 Participate in regular phone conferences with T.A. agencies and projects serving the Pacific Basin in order to share information and collaborate on T.A. activities. Telephone calls and phone conferences are held throughout the year to keep track of T.A. revisions, issues, concerns, etc.

Note: A timeline for each objective is shown in Table 7 for the 36 month duration of the Project.



PART I I DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT ACCOMPLISHMENTS/ACTIVITIES



YR 03 ACTIVITY REPORT

OBJECTIVE 1.

Activity

1.1 In Year 03 the concensus decision of the D-B specialists (Summer Institute Participants), families, Special Education Coordinators and project trainers/instructors was to put all project resources into on-site technical assistance, training and followup for the D-B Specialists serving children with deaf-blindness and their families. Therefore, in Year 03 no D-B Summer Institute was held.

Two exceptions were made regarding off-island training as follows:

- (1) Two participants (D-B Instructors) were sent from Guam DOE to a Summer Institute provided by the Perkins National Deaf-Blind Training Project and San Diego State University July 8-13, 1995. This Summer Institute focused on Communication with Learners who are Deaf-Blind.
- (2) A total of four participants were sent from Guam and Pohnpei to the American Federation for the Blind (AFB) "Hand In Hand" trainings on Curriculum Content and Training of Trainers August 15-23 in San Francisco and in Seattle in August 1995.

Both of these trainings were accessed in order to build the capacity of Pacific/indigenous trainers. These trainers will be utilized in the following D-B project to train the D-B Resource Specialists in each jurisdictions.



Activity

- 1.2 Onsite followup visits were made to each jurisdiction in 94-95 to provide followup TA and training to D-B Resource Specialists (that had participated in the D-B Summer Institutes). The following site visits were made by D-B Summer Institute Instructors:
 - 1) David Flnn, Ed. D. to American Samoa Feb 1995;
 - 2) Rene Ranola, R.P.T. to CNMI (Saipan, Rota & Tinian) Feb 13-17, 1995;
 - 3) Linda Frank to Chuuk, FSM (islands of Weno, To.. Dublon, Oneop & Lekinioch) April 24-May 2, 1995.
 - 4) Mellanie Lee to the Republic of the Marshall Islands May 1995;
 - 5) Josephine (Bing) Santos to Yap, FSM, May 21-26, 1995;
 - 6) Josephine (Bing) Santos to Palau, June 4-10, 1995;
 - 7) Mellanie Lee to Pohnpei, FSM, June 1995;
 - 8) Guam- Rene Ranola, Linda Frank, Josephine Santos, March-June 1995.



The following is a summary of each of the above listed site visits:

American Samoa

- Date February '95
 TA follow-up was provided by David Finn, Ed. D.
- Follow-up was provided to: Mele Faiai, Taelelea Laulu, Lasela Salanoa,
 Taufau Fagasa
- 3. Follow-up was provided on:
 - 3.1 Utilization of the MAPPs assessment
 - 3.2 Communication Systems
 - 3.3 Classroom Activity analysis process
 - 3.4 Peer support program
 - 3.5 N.O.S.E.S. Assessment protocol

4. TA Outcomes

- 4.1 Conducted MAPs for students. Students have had an increase in services and are functioning better
- 4.2 Made early childhood programs available for D-B students
- 4.3 Improved attitudes of regular teachers working with children with deaf-blindness
- 4.4 Modification of student program based on NOSES assessment
- 4.5 Matafao Elementary School have developed a strong peer support program for students
- 4.6 Provided a workshop to regular teachers on the NOSES assessment



CNMI - Saipan, Tinian and Rota

- Date: February 13-17, 1995
 TA Follow-up was provided by Rene Ranola, R.P.T.
- Follow-up was provided to: Manuel Ogarto, Susan Riva, Davinia Rabago,
 Frank Arurang, Bernard Taitano, Julie Lieto, Josephine Planas, Estras Sumor,
 Mystica Kaipat, Margarita Taitano, Dionisia Taitano, Lucinda Laniyo, James
 Kaniki, Emily Manglona, Lucia Calvo
- 3. Follow-up was provided on:
 - 3.1 MAPs assessment process
 - 3.2 Peer Support System: Circle of friends and peer buddies
 - 3.3 Sign language instruction

4. TA Outcomes:

- 4.1 Two sign language classes were provided at Hopwood JHS. One was beginning Sign Language with 28 students and the other is Advanced Sign Language with 26 students
- 4.2 The principal at San Antonio Elementary School has agreed to include sign language as an elective on the school
- 4.3 Students demonstrations increase in academic skills
- 4.4 Sign Language class taught to 6th graders 5x week/30 min. per class at OES elementary
- 4.5 Communication systems developed for students
- 4.6 MAPs completed with students
- 4.7 Establish peer support groups for students



Chuuk (FSM) - Weno, Tol. Dublon, Oneop and Lekinioch islands

- Date April 24-May 2, 1995
 TA Follow-up was provided by Linda Frank
- Follow-up was provided to: Tercy Cholamay, Emmine Sirom, Leonina
 Sabastian, Sophie Esah, Kathy Nedelee
- 3. Follow-up was provided on:
 - 3.1 Functional assessment strategies
 - 3.2 Communication systems: Gestural Dictionary sign language,
 communication picture board, total communication picture board, total
 communication, object picture schedule box and boards
 - 3.4 Peer buddy systems and peer tutoring

4. TA Outcomes:

- 4.1 Conducting sign language classes for Weno Community & Tol island
- 4.2 Conducting community awareness regarding children with deafblindness and other special needs
- 4.3 Deaf-blind screening and TA follow-up is provided to outer islands of Chuuk State



Marshali Islands - Majuro

- Date May '95
 TA follow-up was provided by Mellanie Lee
- 2. Follow-up was provided to: Clena Bujen, Teikla Morris, and Hilda Nelson.
- 3. Follow-up was provided on:
 - 3.1 Communication and sign language strategies and systems
 - 3.2 Utilizing the MAPPs strategy for assessment to determine priorities for instruction
 - 3.3 Teaming to provide "buddy program" in a collaborative manner to include students in PE class and lunchroom and recess interactions and activities with non-disabled students
 - 3.4 Utilizing a classroom activity analysis process for determining what to teach on a regular basis

4. TA Outcomes:

- 4.1 Five sign language classes were provided over a 2 week period for parents, regular educators and Sp. Ed. teachers
- 4.2 MAPs were completed for students
- 4.3 Taught non-disabled students sign language and other communication strategies to ensure interaction
- 4.4 Implemented "Buddy" program to ensure successful inclusion at lunch time and recess
- 4.5 Conducted workshop on four outer atolls on assessment strategies
- 4.6 Taught Head Start Teachers how to do classroom activity analysis
- 4.7 Taught sign language via local T.V..



YAP (FSM)

- Date May 21-26, 1995
 TA follow-up was provided by Josephine (Bing) Santos
- 2. Follow-up was provided to: Gertrude Rueppin Naz Ganangred
- 3. Follow-up was provided on:
 - 3.1 Teacher Intervention Checklist
 - 3.2 Child Identification
 - 3.3 Screening instruments
 - 3.4 IEP writing
 - 3.5 Signing language training
 - 3.6 MAPs family interview process
 - 3.7 Modification/adaptation of toys, furniture
 - 3.8 Involving families
 - 3.9

4. TA Outcomes:

- 4.1 Training provided to parents in sign language
- 4.2 Teachers at MAPP elementary use sign language in classroom to accommodate students
- 4.3 Parents and Head Start staff were initiating to use of sign language with students in Head Start
- 4.4 Mini workshops were conducted during summer with faculty at MAAP Elementary to modify instructual strategies



<u>Palau</u>

- Date June 4 10, 1995
 TA Follow-up was provided by Josephine (Bing) Santos
- Follow-up was provided to: Erica Elechuus, Helen Sengebau, Nora Singeo, Norma Mersai, Elizabeth Watanabe, Gresencia Brel, Monica Blesoch, Jemmy Blelai, Angelina Ngemaes
- 3. Follow-up was provided on:
 - 3.1 Hearing/Vision Screening procedures
 - 3.2 AAC
 - 3.3 Peer support strategies
 - 3.4 Sign language
 - 3.5 MAPPs
 - 3.6 Classroom activity analysis
 - 3.7 Adaptation of materials, instructural strategies and physical environments

4. TA Outcomes:

- 4.1 Taught sign language to 1st grade class
- 4.2 Implemented peer support group strategy
- 4.3 Communication board was designed and implemented with a student
- 4.4 Sign language class provided for 15 teachers at Koror Elementary
- 4.3 MAPPs conducted for students and families
- 4.3 Sign language classes provided to Head Start teachers, aides, students, and cooks
- 4.4 Provided workshop to Head Start staff: Disability Awareness and peer networking
- 4.5 Student has learned to communicate via signing



- 4.6 Student is utilizing communication board
- 4.7 Peer support system established for student
- 4.8 Children in Head Start centers had following modifications completed: corner chair, large pictures, home-made pillows for support
- 4.9 Sign language training in 3 schools:Aimeliik, Meyuns & Airai



Pohnpei:

- 1. Date: June 1995 TA follow-up provided by Mellanie Lee
- Follow-up was provided to Robina Anson, Etsinter Elias, Anna Rae Yamada,
 Welber Santos, Brigida Suzuki, Soshy Isac (unavailable)
- 3. Follow-up was provided on:
 - 3.1 Communication and sign language strategies and systems;
 - 3.2 Facilitating the use of community resources and services for students transitioning from school to work settings;
 - 3.3 Developing peer supports for students in jobs to ensure successful transition;
 - 3.4 Adapting and modifying materials and instructual strategies and environments to enhance visual and auditory learning;
 - 3.5 Teaming to provide services in a collaborative manner to students with deaf-blindness and their families;
 - 3.6 Utilizing the MAPPs strategy for assessment to determine priorities for instruction e.g. to write goals and objectives and plan instructural activities

4. TA Outcomes:

- 4.1 Trained Bus drivers and teachers in a 2 week sign language course
- 4.2 Developed jobs for transition-aged students
- 4.3 Sign language course was taught for teachers, staff and parents at Mad

 Center
- 4.4 Student and family was provided an E-Tran board for communication needs
- 4.5 Adapted song book for students
- 4.6 Classroom and home arrangements were adapted (furniture) for student



- 4.7 Regular Ed Students interacted with student
- 4.8 Sign language course was taught for bus drivers and staff at Pohnpei

 Center
- 4.9 Assessed six students using MAPPs
- 4.9.1 Taught cooperative games to student and non disabled peers to enable play
- 4.9.2 Taught sign language to non-disabled students to support interaction
- 4.9.3 Developed picture schedule for student
- 4.9.4 Integrated students into Home Arts and Agriculture classes



OBJECTIVE 1. Continued

Activity

1.3 Information exchange regarding best practices and successful strategies used for students with deaf-blindness was provided during the 1995 Pacific Rim Conference. Representatives from all the jurisdictions participated in a panel presentation at the Pacific Rim Conference. This conference is attended annually by 400-500 special and general educators, family members and consumers.

Within each jurisdiction the D-B Resource Specialists provided an array of workshops and classes for family members, teachers, aides, bus and boat drivers and students on the following topics: Peer support programs, sign language, AAC, MAPPs, Communication systems, Adaptation of Instructional Strategies, materials and environments, community awareness, D-B screening and assessment, classroom activity analysis.

OBJECTIVE 2.

Activity

2.1 Work continues with Hawaii UAP, WRFIT, Part B & Part H, CSPD, University of Guam and Community Colleges to plan ways that the leadership cadre (of Summer Institute Instructors) and the team leaders in each jurisdiction can play a role in teacher, paraprofessional and related services personnel training to support "best practice" curriculum and instructional strategies for students with deaf-blindness.



Activity

2.2 Summer Institute Instructors provided long-distance instruction for D-B children across the Pacific Basin via the PEACESAT Satellite. Two-threee calls were implemented per each of the 10 sites.

College credit was arranged for the '94 D-B Summer Institute participants through the University of Guam. In order to receive credit participants developed and implemented an Action Plan for work with deaf-blind students during year 03.

OBJECTIVE 3.

Activity

3.1 TA has been provided via onsite visits by Summer Institute Instructors during 94-95. Also, D-B Resource Specialists have provided TA to service providers to facilitate parent involvement in communication MAPPS, inclusion in regular schools and classrooms, etc.

Summer Institute participants following the Summer Institute have included in their Action Plans specific activities related to:

- Involving families in educational program planning and implementation;
- 2) Assisting families in understanding the special needs of their children and how they can support learning at home and in the community; and
- 3) Planning for the futures of their children with deaf-blindness.



Activity

- 3.2 Continuing efforts in each jurisdiction include:
 - 1) D-B Resource Specialists providing leadership for support to families:
 - D-B Resource Specialist working with teachers of D-B students to ensure family support and involvement.

Activity

3.3 During each on-site visit by Summer Institute Instructors, home visits were made to meet with families & D-B children. Also D-B Resource Specialists in each jurisdiction are working with families on a continuing basis.

OBJECTIVE 4.

Activity

4.1 Deaf-Blind Resource Specialists are working in each jurisdiction along with the Sp. Ed. Coordinator to ensure that all children with deaf-blinchess are receiving services in the least restrictive environment. Much progress has been achieved in Palau, Chuuk, Pohnpei and the Marshall Islands to service children in schools versus homebound services. Children who have been served in special center programs have been transitioned to regular schools and many are being included in regular classrooms for all or part of the school day.

Activity

4.2 The D-B Project has been working collaboratively with the Pacific Systems
Change Project for Including Students (Partnerships for Inclusive Community,
Education Services and Supports). Through this collaboration process plans
are being developed and implemented to include children with deaf-blindness



in age-approriate classrooms and to ensure interaction with non-disabled peers on an ongoing basis.

Activity

4.3 In collaboration with the PICES project a training Institute was co-sponsored in Saipan Aug. 6-11, 1995 "Building Inclusive Practices in Schools and Communities." School-community teams were sponsored to participate. Each team developed a Plan of Action to support inclusive practices in their school and community. Onsite followup TA and training was provided during 94-95.

OBJECTIVE 5.

Activity

5.1 Provided child-count screening and assessment technical assistance to the Republic of the Marshall Islands for Enewetok Atoll. Three children were identified for the D-B census. Mellanie Lee provided this TA to Enewetok.

Update the D-B screening process and supplies/materials to ensure that children on remote outer islands are also included in the D-B Census and receive services.

Activity

5.2 Meetings were held in each jurisdiction with Sp. Ed. Coordinators and D-B
Resource Specialists to review, revise and update the D-B census procedures.
This was addressed during onsite followup as specified in 1.2.



OBJECTIVE 6.

Activity

6.1 TA collaboration was addressed in the Pacific Interagency Leadership
Conference held in Honolulu, March 26-31, 1995. All TA collaborators were
involved including Part B, Part H and CSPD Coodinators and University and
Community College representatives. TA collaborators include: NECTAS,
TAPP, WRRC, RR+T Center, RAP, WRFIT, PREL, Hawaii UAP/Pacific Outreach
Initiative (POI) Projects, Guam UAP, Pacific Basin UAP sites in CNMI and
American Samoa.

Activity

6.2 A TA calendar is coordinated and published in the HUAP Pacific Outreach Initiative (POI) "POI TALK" newsletter disseminated quarterly.

Activity

6.3 TA Teleconferences continue in order to plan and implement the Pacific
Interagency Leadership Conference that supports collaborates TA efforts and
interagency efforts in each jurisdiction.



PART III PROJECT CHANGES





PROJECT CHANGES:

The only project change was in the activity for objective one. The Summer Institute for year 03 was changed to onsite training in each jurisdiction. This was based on a consensus agreement between the Sp Ed Coordinators in each jurisdiction, D-B Specialist, families and project staff and consultants. All the stakeholders agreed that onsite training and consultation in year 03 would provide the maximum benefits to children with Deaf-blindness and their families.



PART IV

PROJECT IMPACTS



PROJECT IMPACTS:

Project impacts were significant in terms of outcomes for the programs, staff and children with deaf-blindness and their families. These impact statements are listed in Part II: Description of Project Accomplishment for Year 03. Also, in terms of all three project years please refer to the following table that summarizes accomplishments for Years 01-03 by objective and activity.



PACIFIC BASIN DEAF-BLIND PROJECT

1992-1995

Guam Department of Education/University of Hawali, University Affilated Program

Accomplishments

Activities

(1992-1993)

Accomplishments

Accomplishments

(1993-1994)

(1994-1995)

1) To provide technical assistance special services and other service culturally sensitive approaches in providing early identification and reated services to children and providers, to ensure the use of to departments of education/ Project Objectives transitional, vocational and successful, innovative, and youth with deaf-blindness intervention, educational,

trainees from each jurisdiction via an annual, three week Deaf-Blind 1.1) Provide an intensive educa-Summer Institute located at the University of Guam. ional opportunity to selected

Center workshop on Sunday, December 13. Special education held in Pohnpel in collaboration A planning meeting for the coordinators and/or their desig-D-B Summer Institute 1993 was

at University of Guam. Fifty to Sixty institute '94 was provided in Guam participant returned for three .1 Third annual D-8 Summer weeks of training. with a Western Regional Resource

children with deat-blindness and their families. Therefore in Year 03 no D-B Summer Institute was held. Coordinators and project trainers/ instructors was to put all project assistance, training and followup for the D-B Specialists serving 1.1 In Year 03 the concensus decision of the D-B specialists (Summer Institute Participants), resources into on-site technical families, Speical Education

provided by the Perkins National Deaf-Bilnd Training Project and San Diego State University July 8-13, 1995. This Summer Institute focused on Communication with Learners who are Deaf-Bilnd. (2) Four participants were sent (4FB) "Hand In Hand" Itainings on Curicalium Content and Training on Curiculum Content and Training on Curiculum Content and Training on Curiculum Content and Training and Francisco and in Seattle in August 1995. Both of these frainings were accessed in order to build the regarding off-Island training as follows: (1) Two participants (D-B instructors) were sent from Guam utilized in the following D-B project to train the D-B Resource capacity of Pacific/Indigenous trainers. These trainers will be Two exceptions were made DOE to a Summer Institute

in Guam at the University of Guam

Instructional Strategies & Inclusion.

Physical Therapy, Curricular &

nication, Occupational and

The '93 Summer Institute was held

instruction in the areas of Commu-

Most of the same instructors were

additional participants to attend.

involved in 1993 because of their

expertise, to preserve continuity

and to provide advanced

weeks. Many jurisdictions funded

extended from 3 weeks to 4

instructors, the institute was

provide Input on the D-B Summer

nees attended this meeting to

eedback from participants and

Census, etc. Given the positive

nstitute for 1993, the Deaf-Blind

these were funded by jurisdictions

2. Assist each jurisdiction with

new participants, twenty-seven of

Phirty-seven participants returned

form June 14-July 9 (4 weeks)

with an additional twenty-nine

made to each jurisdiction in 94-95 1.2 Onsite followup visits were Specialist in each Jurisaiction.

Summer Institute Instructors:
1) David Finn, Ed. D. to American
Samoa - Feb 1995;
2) Rene Ranola, R.P.I. to CNMI
(Saipan, Rota & Tinlan) Feb 13-017;
1995; training to D-B Resource Specialists (that had participated in the D-B Summer institutes). The following site visits were made by D-B o provide followup TA and

 \simeq

reinforce the knowledge urisdiction. This on-site follow-up and skills taught via the planning and implementing the replication of successful Innova-Deaf-Blind Summer live "best practices" and approaches within their own

will be designed to:

 $\stackrel{\frown}{=}$

each Jurisdiction and Hawaii. The provided this fiscal year because schedule, topics, and instructors held with the summer '92 Instructopical conference calls were PEACESAT SATELLITE Station In 1.2 Follow-up on-site was not of limited funding. However, ors and participants via the for the calls were as follows:

support to D-B Resource Specialists visits were implemented as follows: made to provide supervision and Summer Institute Instructors. Site 1.2 Selected on-site visits were (Summer Institute Participants). Site visits were made by the

Judy Boughton to Kosrae Nov. 1-6, 1994

Institute;

Pacific Basin Deaf-Blind Project

Guam Department of Educatio. //University of Hawaii, University Afiliated Program

Accomplishments YR 03 (1994-1995)	3) Linda Frank to Chuuk, FSM (slands of Weno, To Dublon, Oneop & Lekinloch) April 24-May 2, 1995. 1995. 5) Josephine Lee to the Republic of the Marshall Islands May 1995; 5) Josephine (Bing) Santos to Yap, FSM, May 21-26, 1995; 6) Josephine (Bing) Santos to Palau, June 4-10, 1995; 7) Mellanie Lee to Pohnpei, FSM, June 1995; 8) Guam-	1.3 Information exchange regarding best practices and successful strategies used for students with dead-billindness was provided during the 1995 Pacific Rim Conference. Representatives from all the jurisdictions participated in a panel presentation at the Pacific Rim Conference. This conference is attended annually by 400-500 specic ¹ and general educators, family members and consumers. Within each jurisdiction the D-B Resource Specialists provided an array of workshops and classes for family members, teachers, aides, bus and boat drivers and students on the following topics: Peer support programs, signianguage, AAC, MAPPs, Communication systems, Adaptation of instructional Strategies, materials and environments, community awareness. D-B screening and assessment, classroom grivity.
Accomplishments YR 02 (1993-1994)	2) Josephine Santos to Palau Nov. 15-21, 1994 3) Ruth Taimanglo to Pohnpei Nov. 15-21, 1994 4) Unda Frank to Chuuk Nov. 29-Dec. 3, 1994 5) Rene Ranolo to Saipan Nov. 29-Dec. 3,1994 6) Mellanie Lee to Majuro Jan. 8-15, 1994 7) Mellanie Lee to Ebeye Jan. 15-21, 1994 8) Mellanie Lee to Am. Samoa Jan. 23-30, 1994 9) Josephine Santos to Yap Feb. 6-13, 1994 10) Judith Boughton to Rota and Tinian, May 24-25, 1994 11) All Guam Instructors - Guam Jan/Feb 1994	1.3 Information exchange regarding best practice and approaches used for students who are D-B was presented during the Summer Institute 1994. Institute participants prepared presentations to the Summer Institute to present to other Institute participants and Instructors. Presentations included a report (outlined on an overhead) of each target D-B students instructional needs, current program, successful strategies and current issues and challenges that need to be addressed.
Accomplishments YR 01 (1992-1993)	1. Linda Frank (Guam DOE) Augmentative Communication for D-B Students February 9/10, 1993 2. Melianie Lee (San Francisco) Functional Assessment for D-B February 16/17, 1993 3. Becky Chargulat & Josephine Santos Sign Language Instruction for D-B students March 16/17, 1993 4. Judy Boughton Occupational & Physical Therapy Strategles for D-B Students April 19/20, 1993 5. Terry Welch	Assessment Screening & Instructional Strategies for D-B students May 18/19, 1993 1.3 Time for information exchange regarding best practice and approaches used for students who are D-B for jurisdictions was funded during the Summer Institute 1993.
Activities	2) ensure that "best practice" strategies for students with dual sensory impairments are being utilized; 3) assist each jurisdiction with planning and implementing the replication of successful innovation	1.3 Assist jurisdictions in planning and implementing strategies for sharing and Information exchange across jurisdictions that will enhance programs and services for students with dual sensory impairments.
Project Objectives		(Y)

Pacific Basin Deaf-Blind Project 1992-1995

Guam Department of Education/University of Hawaii, University Afiliated Program

bjectives	
Project C	

Activities

Accomplishments (1992-1993)YR 01

93 and also in August '93 including

2.1 A meeting was held February

Accomplishments (1993-1994)**YR 02**

Accomplishments (1994-1995)YR 03

children and youth with deafpreparing to serve or serving Part B and Part H CSPD plans In the Jurisdictions to provide teachers, administrators and training to paraprofessionals colleges in conjunction with related services personnel assistance to University of preservice and inservice To provide technical Guam and community blindness.

- agencies (Part B and Part H CSPD) area of dual-sensory impairments Project might assist in developing Education programs to plan and the expertise of instructors in the and the University of Guam and Implement strategies/ways the Community College Teacher 2.1 Work with educational
- 2.2 In cooperation with universities other training programs provided Deaf-Blind Summer Institute and trainees participanting in the and colleges, make college/ university credit available to through the project.

Region Faculty Institute for Training

(WRFIT), University of Colorado

and the Hawail UAP.

The Aug '93 meeting was held in

collaboration with the Western

hrough the University of Hawaii

utilize the technology available for long-distance training via satellite transmission to meet the needs of and college programs in order to 2.3 Cooperate with the university agencies, service providers and families of deaf-blind children.

credit participants developed and

are implementing an Action Plan

for work with deaf-blind students

during year 02.

participants through the University

of Guam. In order to receive

2.2 College credit was arranged

for the '93 D-B Summer Institute

- 3.1. Provide agencies and service providers with information and strategies for:
 - planning and implemen-1) Involving parents in educational program

nity Colleges to plan ways that the personnel training to support "best play a role in teacher, paraprofee institute instructors) and the team practice" curriculum and instruclional strategies for students with UAP, WRFIT, Part B & Part H, CSPD University of Guam and Commu-Work continues with Hawaii leaders in each Jurisdiction can leadership cadre (of Summer slonal and related services deaf-blindness.

> conjuction with the newly funded Pacific Satellite UAP coordinated

February '93 meeting was held in

CNMI Community College. The

Samoa Community College &

Community College, American

University of Guam, Guam

play a role in teacher, paraprofes institute instructors) and the team

slonal and related services

eaders in each jurisdiction can

nity Colleges to plan ways that the

leadership cadre (of Summer

UAP, WRFIT, Part B & Part H, CSPD, University of Guam and Commu-

Work continues with Hawaii

- Iwo-three calls were implemented provided long-distance instruction for D-B children across the Pacific Basin via the PEACESAT satellite. 2.2 Summer Institute Instructors per each of the 10 sites.
- the '94 Summer Institute for families of D-B Resources Specialists and instructors, satellite instruction & onsite visits of Summer Institute 3.1 TA has been provided via SPED Coordinators.
- credit participants developed and (wo-three calls were implemented participants through the University personnel training to support "best provided long-distance instruction for D-B children across the Pacific Implemented an Action Plan for flonal strategies for students with College credit was arranged for practice curriculum and Instruc-Basin via the PEACESAT satellite. 2.2 Summer Institute Instructors of Guam. In order to receive the '94 D-B Summer Institute per each of the 10 sites. deaf-blindness.
- Instructors during 94-95. Also, D-B in regular schools and classrooms, communication MAPPS, inclusion vided TA to service providers to facilitate parent involvement in onsite visits by Summer Institute Resource Specialists have pro-3.1 TA has been provided via

work with deaf-blind students

during year 03.

standing the special problems ment in the education of their and to assist families in underservice providers to tacilitate children with deaf-blindness and needs of their children. assistance to agencies and parental and family involve-3. To provide technical

community & futures planning for children with deaf-blindness is an objective that was addressed by instructors in the Summer Institute '93. fional program planning, imple-3.1 Involving families in educalearning at home and in the mentation and supporting

33

(3)
ERIC
Full Text Provided by ERIC

Project Objectives	Activities	Accomplishments YR 01 (1992-1993)	Accomplishments YR 02 (1993-1994)	Accomplishments YR 03 (1994-1995)
	2) Assisting parents in understanding the special need of their children and how they	Summer institute participants following the Summer institute have included in their Action Plans specific activities related to:	Summer Institute participants following the Summer Institute have included in their Action Plans specific activities related to:	Summer institute participants following the Summer Institute have included in their Action Plans specific activities related to:
	can help support learning at home and in the community; and 3) Assisting families in	 Involving families in educa- tional program planning and implementation; 	 Involving families in educa- tional program planning and implementation; 	 Involving families in educa- tional program planning and implementation;
	planning for the turid es of their children and recognizing their capabilities.	2) Assisting families in understanding the special needs of their children and how they can support learning at home and in the community; and	2) Assisting families in understanding the special needs of their children and how they can support learning at home and in the community; and	2) Assisting families in understanding the special needs of their children and how they can support learning at home and in the community; and
		Manning for the futures of their children with deaf-blindness.	3) Planning for the futures of their children with deaf-blindness.	 Planning for the futures of their children with deaf-blindness.
	3.2 Assist administrators with planning and implementing	3.2 Summer Institute participants will work with their administrators	3.2 Continuing efforts in each jurisdiction include:	3.2 Continuing efforts in each Jursdiction include:
	strategies to include parents of children with dual sensory impairments in parent groups, workshops, meetings, consulta-	to plan & Implement strategies to include parents of children with deaf-blindness in parent groups, workshops, meetings and counsel-	 D-B Resource Specialists providing leadership for support to families; 	 D-B Resource Specialists providing leadership for support to families;
	tions and counseling.	ing as needed.	2) D-B Resource Specialist working with teachers of D-B students to ensure family support and involvement	2) D-B Resource Specialist working with teachers of D-B students to ensure family support and involvement
	3.3 To involve parents in any onsite technical assistance visit to meet with parent groups, include parents in all warkshops	3.3 No progress this year to date because no onsite follow-up is planned due to lack of funding. However, Summer institute	3.3 During each on-site visit by Summer Institute Instructors, home visits were made to meet with families & D-B children. Also D-B Resource Snecipites in each	3.3 During each on-site visit by Summer institute instructors, home visits were made to meet with families & D-B children. Also D-B Resource Specialists in each

families on a continuing basis.

Resource Specialists in each Jurisdiction are working with

Resource Specialists in each jurisdiction are working with families on a continuing basis.

them in parent groups, etc., and will make home visits.

Involved with families to involve participants will be actively

consultants, and make home visits

to meet with parents.

trainings provided by project

Accomplishments

Accomplishments

Accomplishments

(1992-1993)YR 01

Activities

(1993-1994)YR 02

(1994-1995)

deaf-biindness with children without disabilities in regular Integration of children with assistance to promote the Project Objectives with other disabilities and 4. To provide technical

schools, classrooms and

communities.

4.) Provide Intensive training with visitations to parents and educaon-site follow-up and model site integrated services for students flonal personnel responsible for with dual sensory impair ments. This training will include:

families with information inclusion of their children Goetz, Guess, & Stremelstrategies to develop supporting parents and develop an integrated service delivery system and implement a plan appropriate to Pacific I) information on spe-3) strategies for effec with deaf-blindness. based programs (cf fively involving and grafed, communityon integration and Island cultures and students within intefor restructuring to cific needs of aual Campbell, 1987); sensory impaired locations

4.2 Assist administrative personnel developing and implementing an appropriate regular schools and LRE/Integration plan to ensure services for students with dual sensory impairments in ageand stakeholders in Jointly classrooms.

Italning. A Schools Are for All Kids* Pohnpei Department of Education The '93 Summer Institute provided ence in Honolulu in January. The instruction on inclusion strategies as part of the four week Summer American Samoa and Ebeye, M.I. two day workshop was provided by the TA Director during year 01. pated. Workshop participation participate in a Honolulu-based as a Pre Conference workshop throughout Micronesia particiorior to the Pacific Rim Confer-January 11 &12. A team from 4.1 On-site TA was provided to by Pohnpei was supported by SAFAK team members partici-Institute. Sixty-six participants Jurisdictions were invited to & HeadStart and two Guam 'SAFAK" workshop was held pated. Action Plans were Pohnpel state funds.

4.2 The TA Director participated in for students with deaf-blindness in Ebeye to support inclusion efforts American Samoa, Guam, and meetings held in Pohnpel, Pohnpei State.

nclusion strategies to be imple-

mented in the jurisdictions.

completed that supported

Deaf-Blind students. Also Intensive Institute Instructors during their on-Institute program. Support onsite Deaf-Blind Resource Specialtraining on integration/inclusion site visits during the 93-94 school ists are working onsite to support the integration and inclusion of has been provided by Summer inclusion of Deaf-Blind students. again focused on integration/ year. The '94 Summer Institute was provided via the Summer

to service children in schools versus regular classrooms for all or part of center programs have been transists are working in each jurisdiction along with the Sp. Ed. Coordinator environment. Much progress has been achieved in Palau, Chuuk, who have been served in special Pohnpei and the Marshall Islands Deaf-Blind Resource Spectalhomebound services. Children tioned to regular schools and to ensure that all children with services in the least restrictive many are being included in deaf-biindness are receiving

> Coordinators, Deaf-Blind Resource them in planning and preparation tors in each jurisdiction. Some D-B for inclusive education programs. Palau, Guam, and In Chuuk, FSM work on this plan with administraion in the regular neighborhood IA Director met with Directors of nomebound Instructor to instruc-4.2 The TA Director continues to children are being moved from Specialists and parents to assist currently in the Marshall Islands, Education, Special Education school. This is a major effort

or Including Students (Partnerships Prough this collaboration process plans are being developed and implemented to include children for Inclusive Community, Educaworking collaboratively with the Pacific Systems Change Project disabled peers on an ongoing basis. approrlate classrooms and to 4.2 The D-B Project has been tion Services and Supports). ensure interaction with nonwith deaf-blindness in age-

35

Pacific Basin Deaf-Bilind Project 1992-1995

Guam Department of Education/University of Hawall, University Affiliated Program

Activities Project Objectives

Accomplishments (1992-1993)**YR 01**

Accomplishments (1993-1994)

Accomplishments

(1994-1995)

4.3 in collaboration with the PICES project a training Institute was coteam developed a Plan of Action 995 *Building Inclusive Practices to support inclusive practices in School-community teams were Onsite followup TA and training sponsored to participate. Each sponsored in Salpan Aug. 6-11, In Schools and Communities." their school and community.

> '94 Summer Institute. D-B Resource Instruction and support & materials

Specialists were provided with

4.3 Inclusion strategies was a part of the course work offered in the to develop/adapt and implement

Summer institute participants were

provided instruction, support &

materials to support inclusion

shops by the Guam "Schools Are

funding to provide onsite work-

This activity has been rede-

signed because of a lack of

for All Kids" trainers. Instead, '93

implementing integration/Inclusion

staff, regular education teachers and parents) in developing and

(principals, special education 4,3 To assist school site teams

plans and providing training and

implement strategies to Include

support to staff to effectively

students with deaf-blindness in

age-appropriate, general

strategies to implement in schools

these strategies in schools in their

was provided during 94-95.

provided the instruction & support

needed by the trainees

instructor, provided the instruction

and support needed by the

trainees.

Mellanie Lee (from San Francisco State University), Summer institute

tion and interaction opportunities

with nondisabled peers.

education classrooms for Instruc-

students with deaf-blindness. in their jurisdiction that serve

Summer Institute Instructor team

with deaf-bilindness. The Guam

urisdictions that serve students

and expand to outer Islands a systems in each of the Jurisdicing, assessment, identification and reporting (annual census assistance to refine, maintain tions for the ongoing screenwho are, or are risk of belng ness) of children and youth of students with Deaf-Blind-5. To provide fechnical

deaf-biind

Deaf-Blind screening has not been 5.1 Assist in the development of a (FSM, Chuuk and Yap) and Palau. plan to expand census activities coordinators of the Jurisdictions accomplished to date. These that have outer islands where urisdictions are the Marshalls, with the special education

Islands of Dublon, Fefan, Uman, Tol refinementand expansion to outer planning and imlementation of Dinstitute participants in planning & '93 Summer Institute Team leaders urisdictions to coordinate the D-B Implementation. Also, during the were selected from each of the Census data collection updates 5.1 An on-site visit was made to Chuuk State, FSM by Mary Jo Noonan in March '93 to assist in and Patta. Dr. Noonan worked B census activities to the outer Coordinator and the Summer with the Special Education slands.

census procedures. No problems or concerns were anticipated in 5.2 A meeting was held Decemreview, revise and update D-B Pacific Rim Basin Jurisdiction to Education Coordinators of the ber 13, 1992 with the Special regard to this year's Census Process & Reporting.

added to this year's census report. 5.1 Year 02 planning has focused Yap, and Pohnpei for expanding islands. On-site visits were made support for this expansion. As a on the Marshalls, Palau, Chuuk, result, ten new students were Institute instructors to provide census activities to the outer by TA Director and Summer

assistance to the Republic of the Marshall Islands for Enewetok Atoll. 5,1 Provided child-count screen-Three children were identified for ing and assessment technical the D-B census. Melianie Lee provided this TA to Enewetok.

process and supplies/materials to outer islands are also included in ensure that children on remote the D-B Census and receive Updated the D-B screening

> update/revise the procedures as annually with Special Education coordinators and/or their designated personnel to review and 5.2 A meeting will be held needed.

update the D-B census proceexpenses for coordinators (to dures. This meeting was held (eep costs at a minimum).

coordinators to review, revise and project meeting that pays travel 5.2 A meeting was held in October 6 & 7 of 1993 with SPED incollaboration with the WRRC

addressed during onsite followup as specified in 1.2. urisdiction with Sp. Ed. Coordinato review, revise and update the D-B census procedures. This was ors and D-B Resource Specialists 5.2 Meetings were held in each

36

Project Objectives	Activities	Accomplishments YR 01 (1992-1993)	Accomplishments YR 02 (1993-1994)	Accomplishments YR 03 (1994-1995)
6. To continue on going collaboration and cooperation with other Technical Assistance projects, organizations, including Part B and Part H CSPD coordinators and University and Community Colleges to ensure the cost effectiveness and programmatic effectiveness of technical assistance to Pacific jurisdictions.	6.1 Participate in T.A. planning meetings with T.A. agencies and projects serving the Pacific Basin in order of share information and collaborate in T.A. activities. These meetings are generally held 2-3 times a year in conjunction with WRRc meetings in order to enable T.A. coordinators to engage in a comprehensive planning effort to ensure ithat needs are met effectively and efficiently throughout the Pacific Basin.	6.1 A meeting of TA collaborators was held in conjuction with the intergency Leadership Conference in Pohnpel State, FSM, March 8-11.	6.1 A TA collaboration meeting was held Jan. 26th to foster collaborative TA planning & implementing of activities whenever appropriate. Another meeting was held April 12th and 13th in Honolulu for Pacific TA agency providers.	6.1 TA collaboration was addressed in the Pacific interagency Leadership Conference held in Honolulu, March 26-31, 1995. All TA collaborators were Involved including Part B, Part H and CSPD Coodinators and University and Community College representatives. TA collaborators include: NECTAS, TAPP, WRRC, RR+T Center, RAP, WRFIT, PREL, Hawaii UAP/Pacific Outreach Initiative (POI) Projects, Guam UAP, Pacific Basin UAP sites in CNMI and American Samoa.
	6.2 Participate in the developmentof a quarterly T.A. calender for the Pacific Basin.	6.2 The WRRC is now coordinating a 1A calendar for the Pacific Basin that reflects all TA activities scheduled. This calendar is revised on a quarterly basis.	6.2 TA Calendar participation continues.	6.2 A TA calendar is coordinated and published in the HUAP Pacific Outreach Initiative (POI) "POI TALK" newsletter disseminated quarterly.
	6.3 Participate in regular phone conferences with T.A. agencies and projects serving the Pacific Basin in order to share information and collaborate on T.A. activities.	6.3 Pacific TA Collaborators have had quarterly teleconfer ences this fiscal year to share in regarding TA.	6.3 TA teleconferences continue in order to share current TA plans & provide updates & plan Joint activities.	6.3 TA Teleconferences continue in order to plan and implement the Pacific Interagency Leadership Conference that supports collaborative TA efforts and Interagency efforts in each jurisdiction.
		1 4 4 4 4 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		

37

(シ て¹

the Leadership Conferencss on March 24 and June 25 regarding evaluation of the conference and followup conference calls since

planning for followup TA.

6.4 TA providers have had

Part V

ERIC ASSURANCE STATEMENT



ERIC ASSURANCE STATEMENT:

This final report has been submitted to ERIC in order for future access. The report will also be available through the Guam DOE.

